**IFB299 End of Sprint Peer Review**

701/21 Pixley Street

Kangaroo Point, QLD 4169

(07) 3822-2432
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# Client team:

Team 22:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Student Number | Team Member Name |
| *9485970* | Brandon Welldon |
| *9449435* | Cameran Fenwick |
| *9710965* | Jesse Gilles |
| *9762086* | Ben Shinas |
| *9098216* | Bob Geissmann |

# Development team:

Team 25:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Student Number | Team Member Name |
| 9180818 | Helena Jones |
| 9560734 | Mislav Zembic |
| 9432205 | Max Kingsman |
| 9182195 | Travis Dahlheimer |
| 9074112 | Yash Rabadia |

Project: Property Management

Dear Ms. Jones,

As a representative of team 22, I would like to present team 25 with a formal review of their progress towards our property management project. This feedback targets what you have released to us in your first sprint. We hope that you find this feedback constructive and beneficial to any of your future endeavours.

When first meeting with team 25, 3 out of the 5 members were present. Our team understands that people have other important commitments in life, however, this does indicate a slight lack of preparedness to our client team. In all meetings with team 25, each present team member was prepared and able to demonstrate all their completed work. All demonstrations were thorough and came with reasonable explanations.

While each presentation was professionally presented, all expectations of our team were not fully addressed. The initial content presented was less detailed than expected, however, all questions from the client team were answered adequately to solidify necessary information. Our expectations for the website demonstration were successfully achieved. Our client team wanted to see a great deal of front end progress, leaving the majority of back end work for sprint 2. All user stories were presented and explained sufficiently in the first meeting. Though this was not expected from our team, it showed great initiative from team 25. Overall many our expectations were met. Our main advice would be to add more detailed content to your presentations. Aim for the client team not having to ask as many questions.

All the presentations were at a level of knowledge suitable for the clients. This aspect is extremely important because on some projects the client team may not have the same level of understanding as the development team. This aspect works both ways, for example, if the development team aimed for a level of knowledge under the client team, the content might seem underdeveloped in the eyes of the client team. We believe that your team found the perfect balance. The product demonstration had no flaws. The product that was provided by the development team well suited the expectation of the client team. Since team 25 has only done front end development on the webpage, there was no opportunity for the client team to test navigations through the webpage. It is expected by the next sprint release that there will be a navigable webpage provided.

The presenters of team 25 always had an answer about what could be done to fix issues stated above. Their responses to our constructive feedback demonstrated the level of professionalism provided in each meeting. The confidence portrayed by your team was a very important strength. In what some answers lacked in content, you made up for in confidence. This is vital for any development team in any field of work.

Overall the presentations provided by team 25 gave a clear vision into the future of the project. We hope that the feedback provided will guide your team towards a successful final product.

Sincerely,

Brandon Welldon,

Team 22